1	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL J. FLANAGAN MICHAEL J. FLANAGAN State Bar #93772		
2	GAVIN M. HUGHES State Bar #242119 2277 Fair Oaks Boulevard, Suite 450 Sacramento, CA 95825 Telephone: (916) 646-9100 Facsimile: (916) 646-9138		
3			
4			
5	E-mail: lawmjf@msn.com		
6	A GYPODNIENG DOD DEGROOM TO THE		
7	ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT		
8	STATE O	F CALIFORNIA	
9	NEW MOTOR VEHICLE BOARD		
10	NEW MOTOR	VEHICLE BOARD	
11	In the Matter of the Protest of:		
12	D&A AUTOMOTIVE , O.C. GENUINE		
13	SCOOTERS OF SANTA ANA,	Protest No: PR-2355-12	
14	Protestant, V.	REPLY TO PROTESTANT'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO	
15	GENUINE SCOOTERS,	DISMISS	
16	Respondent.		
17			
18			
19	In the Matter of the Protest of:		
20	D&A AUTOMOTIVE , O.C. GENUINE		
21	SCOOTERS TUSTIN,	Protest No: PR-2356-12	
22	Protestant,		
23	GENUINE SCOOTERS,		
24	Respondent.		
25			
26			
27			
28			

Respondent, Genuine Scooters, LLC. ("Genuine" or Respondent"), submits the following Reply to Protestant's Response to Motion to Dismiss Protest in the above captioned matter, and respectfully moves for an order dismissing the Protests initiating this proceeding.

Protestant's assertion that it "has been active at all stages of this matter," is simply not true. The Board's records unequivocally reflect that Protestant has been dilatory in filing required documents, it has refused to participate in discovery, it has failed to participate in telephonic hearings, it has refused to attend the Mandatory Settlement Conference ("MSC"), despite repeated promises to counsel and Board staff to do so, and it has refused to retain counsel despite its stated intention that it would do so. These facts speak for themselves and provide overwhelming and compelling evidence for why these Protests should be dismissed.

These Protests were filed on or about December 14, 2012. It is now June 17, 2013, and this matter is no closer to resolution than the day it was filed. Mr. Tuchman's refusal to pursue these Protests continues to result in undue prejudice to Respondent. Protestant's repeated delay tactics include: 1.) Purported computer and email problems; 2.) Purported health issues that had never previously been suggested might preclude Protestant's participation in the MSC; 3.) Failing to appear for scheduled hearings; 4.) A litany of false statements to the Board, the majority *ex parte*, concerning communications with Board staff and the Law Offices of Michael J. Flanagan ("LOMJF").

Mr. Tuchman is unabashedly abusing the statutory scheme set in place to efficiently and quickly resolve disputes between new motor vehicle franchisees and franchisors. Mr. Tuchman is using these proceedings to effectively hold Respondent hostage for as long as possible and denying the Board the opportunity to decide this matter on the merits. Mr. Tuchman has demonstrated that he has no intention of proceeding to a hearing to attempt to demonstrate good cause exists to prevent the establishment of an additional Genuine dealer. His refusal to engage in discovery is clear evidence of this.

It is time to put an end to this nonsense and the needless waste of both the Board's and Respondent's time and resources. Mr. Tuchman has demonstrated that he is either unwilling or incapable of pursuing these Protests, and is also unwilling to retain competent counsel in the

alternative. He has further demonstrated his belief that the Board's orders are to be disregarded as inapplicable to him as a *pro per*. Finally, Mr. Tuchman has demonstrated that his representations to the Board and counsel cannot be relied upon.

Mr. Tuchman's meritless claim of conflict should be ignored. The Board is not the appropriate forum to raise this issue. Even if it were, Protestant only raised this issue after learning of Respondent's stated intention to move to dismiss. Moreover, Mr. Tuchman's allegations that LOMJF has made any misrepresentation to this Board or provided altered or misleading documents is false. While this issue has nothing to do with the pending Motion to Dismiss, it bears mentioning that the credibility and reputation of this office has been well established during the more than 30 years that Mr. Flanagan has practiced before it. The suggestion that this office would commit a clear ethics violation to secure Mr. Tuchman as a client is absurd.

In addition, as mentioned in the Motion to Dismiss, the fact the Respondent was and is an existing LOMJF client is plainly set forth on our firm web site. It must be presumed that Mr. Tuchman was aware of this fact when he contacted our office in June of 2012. This would also explain why he chose to not identify which franchisor he was having issues with, as is reflected in the .1 of an hour billing entry submitted as an attachment to the motion.

Regardless of whether Protestant agrees, the dismissal of its Protests would be a blessing to it. The dismissal of these Protests will in essence save Mr. Tuchman from himself due to the demonstrable fact that Mr. Tuchman's conduct is certain to result in additional motions for sanctions should these proceedings be permitted to continue.

CONCLUSION

For these reasons, these Protests should be dismissed due to Protestant's repeated failure to pursue this matter. The Board's important public policy role in regard to the public welfare cannot be effectuated while these Protests continue to languish. If the Board does not grant Respondent's Motion to Dismiss, it is only a matter of time before Respondent is forced to file yet another Motion to Dismiss in response to Protestant's dilatory conduct that is all but certain to continue.

In addition, Respondent reiterates its request for an opportunity to submit documentation

	II.	
1	evidencing costs and expenses, should the Board determine that an award of sanctions is appropriate	
2	under these circumstances.	
3		
4		
5	Dated: June 17, 2013	LAW OFFICES OF
6		MICHAEL J. FLANAGAN
7		By Maullelle
8		GAVIN M. HUGHES
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

28

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC MAIL 1 I, Valerie A. Coffey, declare that I am employed in the County of Sacramento, State of 2 3 California, that I am over 18 years of age, and that I am not a party to the proceedings identified 4 herein. My business address is 2277 Fair Oaks Boulevard, Suite 450, Sacramento, California, 95825. 5 I declare that on June 17, 2013, I caused to be served a true and complete copy of: 6 7 REPLY TO RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DISMISS 8 9 D&A Automotive, O.C. Genuine Scooters of Santa Ana 10 Genuine Scooters 11 Protest No. PR-2355-12 12 Consolidated 13 By Electronic Mail: 14 Also First Class Mail 15 And Facsimile 16 Terry Tuchman 17 230 E Dyer Road E Santa Ana, CA 92707 18 Fax 714.832.5265 19 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 20 21 Executed this 17 June, 2013, Sacramento, California. 22 23 Valerie A. Coffey 24 25 26 27

28